

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/bmcl



Synthesis and antibacterial activity of some novel imidazole-based dicationic quinolinophanes

Perumal Rajakumar ^{a,*}, Rathinam Raja ^a, Subramaniyan Selvam ^a, Ramasamy Rengasamy ^b, Subramani Nagaraj ^b

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 6 January 2009 Revised 29 April 2009 Accepted 6 May 2009 Available online 9 May 2009

Keywords: Dicationic quinolinophanes Chiral cyclophanes Antibacterial activity

ABSTRACT

Synthesis of novel quinoline based dicationic benzimidazolophanes and imidazolophanes incorporating various spacer units is described. Some of the quinolinophanes **1b**, **3a**, **3b** and **4a** exhibit good antibacterial activity against most of the human pathogenic bacteria in the tested concentrations as compared to the other cyclophanes as well as the test control, streptomycin.

© 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Supramolecular systems with a fluorescence tag play an important role in biology.1 Quinoline based fluorophoric system find application as fluoride ion² and metal ions sensor.³ Quinoline derivatives are well known for their biological activities such as bactericidal, antifungal, antiprotozoic, herbicidal and antiproliferative activity.4 Cyclophanes have received much attention in the area of host-guest complexation, molecular self assembly and specific receptor activity. Imidazole based dicationic cyclophanes have been used for the synthesis of carbenoid complexes,⁵ silver complexes,⁶ anionic binding properties,⁷ transition metal catalysis and have higher donor abilities than most of the phosphine ligands⁸ and also exhibited interesting conformational behaviour.⁹ Imidazole and benzimidazole nucleus are well known and important pharmacophore in drug discovery. 10 Amphiphilic quaternary ammonium compounds (QACs)¹¹ are well known for antibacterial activity due to their electrostatic and hydrophobic interaction with negatively charged bacterial membranes. Many quaternary compounds incorporating imidazolium and benzimidazolium unit shows remarkable antibacterial activity. 12,13 Bisbenzimidazole dications strongly bind to DNA AT rich sequence. 10 Interestingly, the silver nanoparticle of 1,3-disubstituted imidazolinium cations and halogen ions in hydroxyl functionalized ionic liquids (HFIL) show high antimicrobial activity. 14 The synthesis and antibacterial activity of imidazole based carbazolophanes, 15 antifungal activity

of ferrocenyl imidazolophanes⁸ and antimicrobial activity of silver(I) complex of imidazolophane derivatives are known in the literature. Synthesis of chiral cyclophanes incorporating binaphthol has been reported in our laboratory. Synthesis and antibacterial activity of fluorescent supramolecules with chiral core units would be more fascinating. Recently, dicationic imidazolophanes with various spacers like pyridine, m-terphenyl and oxadiazole have been reported. Synthesis and properties of quinoline based cyclophanes are found to be of great interest or quinoline based cyclophanes are found to be of great interest or quinoline based cyclophanes are found to be of great interest or quinoline based activity of imidazole based dicationic macrocycles with quinoline have not been reported. Herein, we wish to report the synthesis and antibacterial activity of imidazole based dicationic quinolinophanes 1a, 1b, 2a, 2b, 3a, 3b, 4a and 4b incorporating catechol and S-(-)-BINOL units.

The synthetic pathway leading to the synthesis of dicationic quinolinophanes ${\bf 1a}$ and ${\bf 1b}$ is outlined in Scheme 1. 2-Chloro-3-formylquinoline ${\bf 5}$ was synthesized from acetanilide via Vilsmeier–Haack approach. The reaction 1 equiv of S-(-)-BINOL with 2.1 equiv of 2-chloro-3-formylquinoline in DMF and in the presence of K_2CO_3 gave the dialdehyde ${\bf 6}$, which was reduced to diol ${\bf 7}$ using NaBH4 in MeOH, followed by reaction with PBr3 to give the dibromide ${\bf 8}$ in 71% yield. Reaction of the dibromide ${\bf 8}$ with 2.1 equiv of benzimidazole in CH3CN in the presence of 25% aq NaOH for 2 days afforded the precyclophane ${\bf 9}^{25}$ in 69% yield. Coupling of the precyclophane ${\bf 9}$ with 1 equiv of 2,6-bis(bromomethyl)pyridine under reflux and under high dilution conditions for 5 days gave the quinolinophane ${\bf 1a}$ in 67% yield.

^a Department of Organic Chemistry, University of Madras, Guindy Campus, Chennai 600 025, India

^b Center for Advanced Studies in Botany, University of Madras, Guindy Campus, Chennai 600 025, India

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +91 44 22351269x213; fax: +91 44 22300488. E-mail address: perumalrajakumar@hotmail.com (P. Rajakumar).

 1 H NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{1a}^{29}$ displayed *N*-methylene protons (-N-CH₂-) as doublets at δ 5.37 and δ 5.77, the methine proton of benzimidazole ring (-N-CH=N-) appeared as a singlet at δ 9.57, in addition to aromatic protons. In 13 C NMR spectrum, the -N-CH₂- was observed at δ 45.48, 50.12 along with other aromatic carbons. A similar sequence was followed using m-xylene dibromide and precyclophane $\mathbf{9}$ to give the quinolinophane $\mathbf{1b}$ in 65% yield (Scheme 1). The structure of quinolinophane $\mathbf{1b}$ was also confirmed from spectral and analytical data. 30

Attention was then focused on the synthesis of quinolinophanes **2a** and **2b** by similar methodology. Treatment of 1 equiv of catechol with 2.1 equiv of 2-chloro-3-formylquinoline **5** gave the dialdehyde **10**, which was reduced with NaBH₄ to give diol **11**. Reaction of the diol **11** with PBr₃ gave the dibromide **12** in 69% yield, which was than reacted with 2.1 equiv of benzimidazole in CH₃CN in presence of 25% aq NaOH to give precyclophane **13**²⁶ in 72% yield. The precyclophane **13** was coupled with 1 equiv of 2,6-bis(bromomethyl)pyridine and *m*-xylene dibromide to give the cyclophanes **2a** and **2b** in 65% and 61% yields, respectively (Scheme 2). The ¹H NMR spectrum of **2a**³¹ displayed *N*-methylene

protons as singlets at δ 5.83 and δ 6.01, respectively, and the benzimidazole proton (-N-CH=N-) appeared as singlet at 10.09 in addition to aromatic protons. In 13 C NMR spectrum the -N-CH $_2$ -appeared at δ 45.60 and δ 50.58 in addition to the aromatic carbons. The structure of cyclophane **2b** was also characterized from spectral and analytical data. 32

In order to test the synthetic utility of above sequence for the synthesis of imidazole based chiral quinolinophanes, the precyclophane **14** was prepared from the chiral dibromide **8** by similar synthetic sequence as mentioned in Scheme 1. Reaction of the chiral dibromide 8 with 2.1 equiv of imidazole in CH₃CN in the presence of 25% NaOH gave precyclophane 14²⁷ in 46% yield. Coupling of the precyclophane 14 with 1 equiv of 2,6-bis(bromomethyl)pyridine and xylene dibromide gave cyclophanes 3a and 3b in 38% and 45% yields, respectively (Scheme 3). The ¹H NMR spectrum of **3a**³³ displayed N-methylene proton $(-N-CH_2-)$ as doublets at δ 5.15 and δ 5.46 and the methine proton of imidazole ring (-N-CH=N-) as a singlet at δ 9.62, in addition to aromatic protons. In 13 C NMR spectrum the -N-CH₂- appeared at δ 53.36 and δ 57.82, along with other aromatic carbons. Similarly the structure of the quinolinophane 3b was also confirmed from spectral and analytical data.³⁴

Similarly, cyclophanes $\bf 4a$ and $\bf 4b$ were also synthesized in 42% and 34% yields, respectively, from precyclophane $\bf 15$ and 2,6-bis(bromomethyl)pyridine and m-xylene dibromide (Scheme 4). Precyclophane $\bf 15^{28}$ was obtained in 41% yield by the reaction of dibromide $\bf 12$ with 2.1 equiv of imidazole. The structure of quinolinophanes $\bf 4a$ and $\bf 4b$ was characterized from spectral and analytical data. 35,36

The antibacterial activity of the quinolinophanes was evaluated against six human pathogenic bacteria namely Staphylococcus aureus, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Shigella sp. Klebsiella pneumoniae, Escherichia coli and Vibrio cholera by the agar well diffusion method. 37,38 Among the quinolinophanes 1-4 (a, b), the inhibitory effects were observed and exert moderate levels of the selected pathogenic bacteria (Table 1). The antibacterial activity of the test compounds was dose dependent and remarkable at higher concentrations. Among the compounds tested the quinolinophanes 1b. 3a, 3b and 4a were more effective than 1a, 2a, 2b and 4b. Over all analysis on the antibacterial activity revealed that the quinolinophanes 1b, 3a, 3b and 4a remarkably inhibited all the pathogenic bacteria in most of the tested concentrations as compared to other compounds and control. Further the compounds 1b, 3a and **3b** were also found to be superior then the commercial antibiotic viz. streptomycin. The minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC) of quinolinophanes 1b, 3a and 3b were determined between

Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: (i) (*S*)-BINOL, K₂CO₃, DMF, 60 °C, 2 days, 6 (71%); (ii) NaBH₄, MeOH, 6 h, 7 (80%); (iii) PBr₃, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C, 4 h, 8 (71%); (iv) 2.1 equiv benzimidazole, 25% aq NaOH, CH₃CN, rt, 2 days, 9 (69%); (v) 2,6-bis (bromomethyl) pyridine, CH₃CN, reflux, 5 days, 1a (67%); *m*-xylene dibromide, CH₃CN, reflux 5 days, 1b (65%)

Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: (i) catechol, K_2CO_3 , DMF, 60 °C, 2 days, **10** (67%); (ii) NaBH₄, MeOH, 6 h, **11** (81%); (iii) PBr₃, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C, 4 h, **12** (69%); (iv) 2.1 equiv of benzimidazole, 25% aq NaOH, CH₃CN, rt, 2 days, **13** (72%); (v) 2,6-bis (bromomethyl) pyridine, CH₃CN, reflux 5 days, **2a** 65%, *m*-xylene dibromide, CH₃CN, reflux 5 days, **2b** (61%).

Scheme 3. Reagents and conditions: (i) 2.1 equiv of imidazole, 25% aq NaOH, CH₃CN, rt, 2 days, **14** (46%); (ii) 2,6-bis(bromomethyl)pyridine, CH₃CN, reflux, 5 days, **3a** (38%), *m*-xylene dibromide, CH₃CN, reflux, 5 days, **3b** (45%).

12
$$\stackrel{\text{i}}{\longrightarrow}$$
 $\stackrel{\text{ii}}{\longrightarrow}$ 4a/4b

Scheme 4. Reagents and conditions: (i) 2.1 equiv of imidazole, 25% aq NaOH, CH₃CN, rt, 2 days, **15** (41%); (ii) 2,6-bis(bromomethyl)pyridine, CH₃CN, reflux, 5 days, **4a** (42%), *m*-xylene dibromide, CH₃CN, reflux, 5 days, **4b** (34%).

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{Table 1} \\ \textbf{The antibacterial activity (minimum inhibitory concentration in $\mu g/ml$) the selective quinolinophanes against different human pathogenic bacterial activity (minimum inhibitory concentration in $\mu g/ml$) the selective quinolinophanes against different human pathogenic bacterial activity (minimum inhibitory concentration in $\mu g/ml$) the selective quinolinophanes against different human pathogenic bacterial activity (minimum inhibitory concentration in $\mu g/ml$) the selective quinolinophanes against different human pathogenic bacterial activity (minimum inhibitory concentration in $\mu g/ml$) the selective quinolinophanes against different human pathogenic bacterial activity (minimum inhibitory concentration in $\mu g/ml$) the selective quinolinophanes against different human pathogenic bacterial activity (minimum inhibitory concentration in $\mu g/ml$) the selective quinolinophanes against different human pathogenic bacterial activity (minimum inhibitory concentration in $\mu g/ml$) the selective quinolinophanes against the $\mu g/ml$ and $\mu g/ml$ are $\mu g/ml$ and $\mu g/ml$ are $\mu g/ml$ and $\mu g/ml$ are $\mu g/ml$ are $\mu g/ml$ and $\mu g/ml$ are $\mu g/ml$ are$

Dicationic cyclophanes	Antibacterial activity (minimum inhibitory Concentration (µg/ml)					
	Staphylococcus aureus	Pseudomonas aeruginosa	Shigella sp.	Klebsiella pneumonia	Escherichia coli	Vibrio cholera
1a	45	20	45	30	30	45
1b	15	25	10	15	15	10
2a	35	25	40	45	35	30
2b	45	40	55	60	30	25
3a	15	20	10	15	10	10
3b	15	25	15	10	20	10
4a	30	25	25	25	20	15
4b	45	30	45	40	30	35
Streptomycin	25	45	25	25	20	25
Control	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI

NI: No inhibition.

10 and 25 μ g/ml as compared to 25 and 60 μ g/ml for other compounds and streptomycin (Table 1). However, the antibacterial activity of quinolinophane **4a** was found to be equal to that of streptomycin on all the tested pathogens.

In conclusion, all the synthesized dicationic quinolinophanes particularly **2a**, **2b**, **4b**, **1a** and **4a** have elevated antibacterial activity against all the selected human pathogenic bacteria. The quinolinophanes **1a**, **3a**, **3b** and **4a** may be developed further as antibiotic drugs as they exhibit better antibacterial activity against all the test pathogens than the other quinolinophanes as well as streptomycin. However, further studies are required to determine their mode of action and their potential application against wide range of human pathogens. Synthesis of fluorescence sensing quinoline based dicationic cyclophane and their antibacterial activity as well as molecular recognition towards various biologically important anions are under investigation.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank DST, India, for financial assistance, DST-FIST for providing NMR facility to the department; R. Raja thanks DST for providing fellowship.

References and notes

- 1. Sakamoto, M.; Veno, A.; Mihare, H. Chem. Commun. 2000, 1741.
- 2. Hu, H.-Y.; Chen, C. F. Tetrahedron Lett. 2006, 47, 175.
- 3. Singh, P.; Kumar, S. Tetrahedron 2006, 62, 6379.
- Musiol, R.; Jampilek, J.; Kralova, K.; Richardson, D. R.; Kalinowski, D.; Podeszwa, B.; Finster, J.; Niedabala, H.; Palka, A.; Polanski, J. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 2007, 15, 1280.
- Simons, S. R.; Gerrison, J. C.; Kofron, W. G.; Tessier, C. A.; Youngs, W. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 3423.
- Garrison, J. C.; Simons, R. S.; Kofron, W. G.; Tessier, C. A.; Youngs, W. J. Chem. Commun. 2001, 1780.
- Alcalde, E.; Alvarez-Rua, C.; Garcia-Rodriguez, S.; Mesquida, N.; Perez-Garcia, L. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1999, 295.
- Dallas, A.; Kuhtz, H.; Farrell, A.; Quilty, B.; Nolan, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 1017
- Cabildo, P.; Sanz, D.; Claramunt, R. M.; Bourne, S. A.; Alkorta, I.; Elguero, J. Tetrahedron 1999, 55, 2327.
- 10. Boiani, M.; Gonzalez, M. Mini-Rev. Med. Chem. **2005**, 5, 409.
- 11. Papo, N.; Shai, Y. Peptides (N.Y) 2003, 24, 1693.
- 12. Edwards, P. N. U.S. Patent 1974, 3,853,907.
- Demberelnyamba, D.; Kim, K.; Choi, S.; Park, S.; Lee, H.; Kim, C.; Yoo, I. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2004, 12, 853.
- 14. Dorjnamjin, D.; Ariunaa, M.; Shim, Y. K. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2008, 9, 807.
- Rajakumar, P.; Sekar, K.; Shanmugaiah, V.; Mathivanan, N. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2008, 18, 4416.
- Melaiye, A.; Sun, Z.; Hindi, K.; Milsted, A.; Ely, D.; Rencker, D. H.; Tessier, C. A.; Younges, W. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 2285.
- (a) Rajakumar, P.; Srisailas, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 1909; (b) Rajakumar, P.; Srisailas, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 2885; (c) Rajakumar, P.; Srisailas, M. Tetrahedron 2003, 59, 5373; (d) Rajakumar, P.; Selvam, S. Tetrahedron 2007, 63, 8891.
- Rajakumar, P.; Selvam, S.; Shanmugaiah, V.; Mathivanan, N. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2007, 17, 5270.
- (a) Rajakumar, P.; Dhanasekaran, M. Tetrahedron 2002, 58, 1355; (b) Rajakumar, P.; Selvam, S.; Dhanasekaran, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2005, 46, 6127; (c) Rajakumar, P.; Raja, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2009, 50, 223.
- Rosa, J. C.; Galanakis, D.; Ganellin, C. R.; Dunn, P. M.; Jenkinson, D. H. J. Med. Chem. 1998, 41, 2.
- Galanakis, D.; Ganellin, C. R.; Chen, J. Q.; Gunasekera, D.; Dunn, P. M. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2004, 14, 4231.
- Conejo-Garcia, A.; Campos, J.; Elder, C.; Entrena, A.; Gallo, M. A.; Espinosa, A. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 5748.
- Liverton, N. J.; Holloway, M. K.; McCauley, J. A.; Rudd, M. T.; Butcher, J. W.; Carroll, S. S.; DiMuzio, J.; Fandozzi, C.; Golbert, K. F.; Mao, S.; McIntyre, C. J.; Nguyen, K. T.; Romano, J. J.; Stahlhut, M.; Wan, B.; Olsen, D. B.; Vacca, J. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 4607.
- 24. Meth-Cohn, O.; Narine, B.; Tarnowski, B. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1979**, 33, 3111.
- Metit-Collil, O.; Ivalille, B., Talilowski, B., Tetralical Tetr. 1973, 25, 37.
 Precyclophane 9: Yield 69%; |2|²⁵₂ − 110.76 (c 0.2, MeOH); mp 239 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 4.44 (d, 2H, J= 17.1 Hz); 4.68 (d, 2H, 17.1); 6.91–6.93 (m, 4H); 6.99–7.10 (m, 6H); 7.14–7.18 (m, 4H); 7.20–7.30 (m, 4H); 7.44 (t, 2H, J= 7.5 Hz); 7.48–7.52 (m, 4H); 7.81 (d, 2H, J= 7.8 Hz); 7.85 (d, 2H, J= 9 Hz); 7.98 (d, 2H, J= 8.1 Hz); 8.14 (d, 2H, J= 8.7 Hz). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 43.00, 109.92, 119.84, 120.36, 122.27, 123.04, 123.76, 124.64, 124.59, 125.84, 126.15, 126.54, 126.69, 127.06, 128.09, 129.37, 131.30, 133.63, 133.73,

- 135.80, 143.64, 143.7, 144.9, 148.8, 157.94. m/z (ESI) 801 (M^{+}). Anal. Calcd for $C_{54}H_{36}N_{6}O_{2}$: C, 80.98; H, 4.53; N, 10.49. Found: C, 80.85; H, 4.59; N, 10.63.
- 26. Precyclophane **13**: Yield 72%; mp 165 °C; 1 H NMR (300 MHz CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 4.80 (s, 4H); 6.83 (d, 2H, J = 8.1 Hz); 6.97 (t, 2H, J = 7.8 Hz); 7.13 (t, 2H, J = 7.8 Hz); 7.30–7.34 (m, 2H); 7.38–7.42 (m, 4H); 7.43–7.48 (m, 4H); 7.52 (d, 2H, J = 7.8 Hz); 7.56–7.59 (m, 4H); 7.71 (d, 2H, J = 8.1 Hz). 13 C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 43.59, 109.41, 119.60, 120.38, 122.25, 123.04, 123.88, 126.09, 127.23, 127.36, 130.22, 133.44, 136.89, 143.49, 143.65, 144.35, 145.64, 157.46. m/z (ESI) 625 (M+) Anal. Calcd for $C_{40}H_{28}N_{6}O_{2}$: C, 76.91; H, 4.52; N, 13.45. Found: C, 76.74; H, 4.65; N, 13.54.
- Found: C, 76.74; H, 4.65; N, 13.54.

 27. Precyclophane **14**: Yield 46%; $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ -342.39 (c 0.2, MeOH); mp 155 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃); δ (ppm) 4.27 (d, 2H, J = 16.5 Hz); 4.43 (d, 2H, J = 16.5 Hz); 6.53 (s, 2H); 6.99 (d, 4H, J = 5.4 Hz); 7.06–7.13 (m, 8H); 7.16–7.31 (m, 4H); 7.40–7.45 (m, 4H); 7.77 (d, 2H, J = 8.7 Hz); 7.98 (d, 2H, J = 8.1 Hz); 8.08 (d, 2H, J = 9.0 Hz). 13 C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 44.94, 119.46, 120.93, 123.57, 123.99, 124.64, 125.09, 125.75, 126.11, 126.56, 126.62, 127.06, 128.02, 128.67, 129.41, 129.51, 129.70, 131.24, 133.69, 136.18, 137.56, 144.95, 148.93, 157.84. m/z (ESI) 701 (M+). Anal. Calcd for $C_{46}H_{32}N_6O_2$: C, 78.84; H, 4.60; N, 11.99. Found: C, 78.93; H, 4.48; N, 12.07.
- 28. Precyclophane **15**: Yield 41%; mp 173 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃₎: δ (ppm) 4.67 (s, 4H); 6.58 (s, 2H); 6.91 (s, 2H); 7.18 (s, 2H); 7.39–7.41 (m, 8H); 7.49–7.54 (m, 2H); 7.61–7.66 (m, 4H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 45.48, 119.21, 120.99, 123.80, 125.53, 125.59, 126.11, 127.25, 127.41, 129.64, 130.26, 136.76, 137.46, 144.47, 145.69, 157.35. m/z (ESI) 525 (M+) Anal. Calcd for C₃₂H₂₄N₆O₂: C, 73.27; H, 4.61; N, 16.02. Found: C, 73.40; H, 4.69; N, 15.87.
- C₃₂H₂₄N₆O₂: C, 73.27; H, 4.61; N, 16.02. Found: C, 73.40; H, 4.69; N, 15.87. 29. Cyclophane **1a**: Yield 67%; $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ –136.68 (c 0.2, MeOH); mp 259 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ (ppm) 5.37 (d, 2H, J = 15.9 Hz); 5.77 (d, 2H, J = 15.9 Hz); 5.92 (d, 2H, J = 15.6 Hz); 5.99 (d, 2H, J = 15.9 Hz); 7.22–7.39 (m, 10H); 7.50–7.60 (m, 6H); 7.63–7.72 (m, 6H); 7.86–7.92 (m, 4H); 8.06–8.16 (m, 7H); 9.57 (s, 2H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ (ppm) 45.48, 50.12, 79.23, 113.34, 113.60, 117.43, 121.86, 122. 79, 123.13, 125.05, 125.21, 125.36, 126.19, 126.32, 126.61, 126.78, 127.65, 129.93, 130.49, 130.59, 130.72, 130.94, 133.00, 138.80, 139.46, 142.63, 145.32, 149.62, 152.53, 158.35. m/z (ESI) 986 (M+Br). Anal. Calcd for C₆₁H₄₃Br₂N₇O₂: C, 68.74; H, 4.07; N, 9.20. Found: C, 68.65; H, 4.16; N, 9.09.
- 30. Cyclophane **1b**: Yield 65%; $[x]_D^{25} 244.61$ (c 0.2, MeOH); mp 247 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ (ppm) 5.67 (d, 2H, J = 16.50 Hz); 5.79 (s, 4H); 5.97 (d, 2H, J = 16.50 Hz); 7.26–7.27 (m, 4H); 7.36–7.42 (m, 6H); 7.57–7.63 (m, 7H); 7.63–7.70 (m, 4H); 7.75 (d, 2H, J = 8.1 Hz); 7.83 (d, 2H, J = 7.5 Hz); 7.92 (d, 2H, J = 8.1 Hz); 8.03–8.06 (m, 4H); 8.18 (d, 2H, J = 8.4 Hz); 8.35 (s, 1H); 9.92 (s, 2H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ (ppm) 45.46, 49.46, 79.22, 113.48, 113.71, 118.24, 121.74,122.78, 125.10, 125.37, 126.17, 126.79, 127.02,127.62, 127.88, 129.64, 129.90, 130.36, 130.59, 131.36, 133.01, 134.93, 142.99, 145.61, 158.09. m/z (ESI) 985 (M+Br). Anal. Calcd for $C_{62}H_{44}Br_2N_6O_2$: C, 69.93; H, 4.16; N, 7.89. Found: C, 69.79; H, 4.29; N, 7.81.
- 31. Cyclophane **2a**: Yield 65%; mp 262 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ (ppm) 5.83 (s, 4H); 6.01 (s, 4H); 7.37–7.39 (m, 2H); 7.49–7.51 (m, 7H); 7.75–7.64 (m, 4H); 7.76 (d, 2H, J = 7.8 Hz); 7.90–7.94 (m, 2H); 7.99 (d, 2H, J = 7.8 Hz); 8.06–8.10 (m, 4H); 8.19–8.22 (m, 2H); 10.09 (s, 2H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ (ppm) 45.60, 50.58, 79.33, 113.62, 113.84, 118.86, 123.77, 124.15, 125.31, 125.48, 126.41, 126.70, 126.91, 127.63, 130.19, 131.18, 131.22, 136.82, 139.13, 143.71, 143.94, 152.60, 156.88. m/z (ESI) 810 (M+Br). Anal. Calcd for C₄₇H₃₆Br₂N₇O₂: C, 63.45; H, 3.97; N, 11.02. Found: C, 63.66; H, 3.76; N, 11.15.
- 32. Cyclophane **2b**: Yield 61%; mp >300 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ (ppm) 5.85 (s, 4H); 5.99 (s, 4H); 7.40–7.45 (m, 4H); 7.56–7.64 (m, 6H); 7.68–7.73 (m, 2H); 7.78–7.82 (m, 7H); 7.98 (s, 1H); 8.13 (d, 4H); 8.45 (d, 2H, J = 8.1 Hz); 9.72 (s, 2H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ (ppm) 45.95, 50.12, 79.27, 113.50, 113.98, 119.75, 123.81, 125.30, 125.42, 126.62, 126.69, 127.10, 127.61, 130.22, 130.38, 131.66, 131.73, 132.15, 133.31, 136.07, 143.47, 143.85, 144.45, 157.10. m/z (ESI) 809 (M+Br). Anal. Calcd for C₄₈H₃₆Br₂N₆O₂: C, 64.88; H, 4.08; N, 9.46. Found: C, 64.75; H, 4.02; N, 9.54.
- Found: C, 64.75; H, 4.02; N, 9.54.

 33. Cyclophane **3a**: Yield 38%; $[\alpha]_D^{25} 275.34$ (c 0.2, MeOH); mp 267 °C; 1 H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ (ppm) 5.15 (d, 2H, J = 14.1 Hz); 5.46 (d, 2H, J = 14.7 Hz); 5.59 (d, 2H, J = 14.4 Hz); 5.69 (d, 2H, J = 15.0 Hz); 6.66 (s, 2H); 6.94 (t, 2H, J = 7.8 Hz); 7.23 7.28 (m, 2H); 7.30 7.33 (m, 4H); 7.38 7.43 (m, 3H); 7.61 7.68 (m, 7H, J = 7.8 Hz); 7.77 (d, 2H, J = 7.8 Hz); 7.83 7.87 (m, 4H); 7.90 (s, 1H); 8.45 (s, 2H); 9.62 (s, 2H). 13 C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ (ppm) 38.41, 53.36, 57.82, 121.30, 125.83, 127.02, 128.19, 128.44, 130.04, 130.40,130.77, 131.35, 131.88, 132.49, 132.76, 134.35, 135.82, 135.86, 138.01, 141.04, 143.68, 147.04, 150.96, 153.88, 157.55, 163.84 m/z (ESI) 886 (M+Br). Anal. Calcd for C_{53} H₃₉Br₂N₇O₂: C, 65.92; H, 4.07; N, 10.15. Found: C, 65.78: H, 4.19: N, 10.01.
- 34. Cyclophane **3b**: Yield 45%; $[\alpha]_0^{25} 300.08$ (c 0.2, MeOH); mp 251 °C; 1 H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ (ppm) 5.26 (s, 4H); 5.29 (s, 4H); 6.17 (s, 2H); 6.92 (d, 2H, J = 9.0 Hz); 7.15 7.27 (m, 6H); 7.34 7.41 (m, 4H); 7.54 (t, 2H, J = 12 Hz); 7.66 7.77 (m, 6H); 7.85 (d, 2H, J = 9 Hz); 7.92 7.96 (m, 4H), 8.43 (s, 2H); 8.98 (s, 2H). 13 C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ (ppm) 53.04, 56.95, 122.57, 126.68, 127.40, 127.95, 130.39, 130.57, 130.82, 131.26, 131.46, 132.10, 132.37, 133.11, 133.35, 134.77, 134.94, 135.91, 136.25, 138.23, 140.54, 140.93, 147.14, 150.81, 154.16, 164.14. m/z (ESI) 885 (M+Br). Anal. Calcd for $C_{54}H_{40}Br_2N_6O_2$: C, 67.23; H, 4.18; N, 8.71. Found: C, 67.34; H, 4.37; N, 8.63.
- 35. *Cyclophane* **4a**: Yield 42%; mp 269 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ (ppm) 5.53 (d, 8H, *J* = 7.5 Hz); 6.82 (d, 4H, *J* = 5 Hz); 7.48–7.56 (m, 8H); 7.60–7.65 (m, 4H); 7.90–7.98 (m, 3H); 8.47 (s, 2H); 9.24 (s, 2H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ (ppm) 47.04, 52.25, 118.61, 120.46, 121.83, 123.15, 124.21, 125.25, 125.77, 126.60, 128.07, 131.02, 137.04, 138.40, 141.02, 144.89, 145.28, 152.95, 158.39.

- m/z (ESI) 710 (M+Br). Anal. Calcd for $C_{39}H_{31}Br_2N_7O_2$: C, 59.33; H, 3.96; N, 12.42. Found: C, 59.41; H, 3.83; N, 12.51.
- Found: **c**, 59.41; **H**, 3.83; **N**, 12.51.

 36. *Cyclophane* **4b**: Yield 34%; mp 242 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ (ppm) 5.47 (s, 4H); 5.59 (s, 4H); 7.21 (s, 2H); 7.38–7.44 (m, 5H); 7.51–7.55 (m, 7H); 7.61–7.64 (m, 4H); 7.71 (s, 2H); 7.93 (d, 2H, *J* = 7.8 Hz); 8.23 (s, 2H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ (ppm) 47.70, 52.08, 79.09, 117.75, 119.33, 122.15, 122.80, 123.61, 125.32, 125.66, 125.93, 126.62, 127.88, 129.58, 130.70, 134.89, 137.15, 138.86, 144.22, 144.98, 157.75. m/z (ESI) 709 (M+Br). Anal. Calcd for C₄₀H₃₂Br₂N₆O₂: C, 60.93; H, 4.09; N, 10.66. Found: C, 61.09; H, 4.17; N, 10.52.
- 37. Parekh, J.; Chanda, S. V. *Turk J. Biol.* **2007**, *31*, 53.
 38. *Antibacterial activity*: Antibacterial activity of the cyclophanes against the selected human pathogens was evaluated by the agar well diffusion method.

About 1 ml of inoculums of each test pathogen was added to the molten NA medium and poured into sterile Petri plates under aseptic conditions. After solidification, a 5 mm well was made in four wells of each plate using a sterile cork borer. Each compound was dissolved in 10% DMSO to get different concentrations and filtered using 0.25 µm sterilized filter paper. Each well received $50\,\mu l$ solutions of each compound and the plates incubated at room temperature. Sterile DMSO (10%) was used as control. After 48 h, the appearance of inhibition zone around the well was observed. The plates were incubated overnight at 37 °C. Microbial growth was determined by measuring the minimum inhibitory concentration in µl/ml. For each bacterial strain, controls and commercial antibiotics were maintained.